### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

 Client ID:
 M08485

 Date Received:
 03/15/12

 Date Extracted:
 03/16/12

 Date Analyzed:
 03/16/12

 Matrix:
 Water

 Units:
 ug/L (ppb)

 Client:
 Alaskan Copper Works

 Project:
 Metro Self Monitor M08485, F&BI 203217

 Lab ID:
 203217-01 x10

 Data File:
 203217-01 x10.071

Instrument: ICPMS1 Operator: AP

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 91 60 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Chromium 429

Nickel 362

Copper 861

Zinc 39.8

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Method Blank Not Applicable 03/16/12

Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:

03/16/12 Water ug/L (ppb) Client: Project: Alaskan Copper Works

Metro Self Monitor M08485, F&BI 203217

Lab ID: Data File:

I2-178 mb I2-178 mb.045 ICPMS1

Instrument: Operator: AP

Lower Limit: Upper

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 121

60

Limit: 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Analyte:

Chromium <1 Nickel <1 Copper <1 Zinc <1

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 03/21/12 Date Received: 03/15/12

Project: Metro Self Monitor M08485, F&BI 203217

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 203180-02 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	100	98	71-130	2
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	101	99	71-120	2
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	31.5	96 b	93 b	52-134	3 b
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	17.4	103 b	100 b	51-142	3 b

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent									
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance						
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria						
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	94	80-119						
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	96	83-119						
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	97	81-120						
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	97	82-120						

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- $\,$  nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- $\operatorname{pr}$  The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

	130		9	16									× ×			96 1000
Pier (200) 265-2862	Sentitle, WA 98118-2089	3018 10th Avenue West	Middenese & Bruya, Inc.									28489W	Sample ID		City, State, ZIP SEATTLE UM 98/34  Phone # 206-574-6035 Fax # 206-382-439	MASSAN COMMAND
Total V	Received by	THE STATE OF THE S	$\mathcal{L}$					8	Y			10	Lab ID	H	SEATTLE WA 98/34 746035 Fax # 206-382-43	A ROLL
	M		<b>SECLIVEOR</b>		Q							3/8/12	Date		\$ -387 286-98	Hompson R Works
			Ħ									7:00	Тіше		-4399	
	ly H	5	À					2				1/20	Sample Type		REMARKS	PROJECT NAMENO.  PROJECT NAMENO.  PROJECT NAMENO.
	hat	Senao TH	THAN THUS		1				140			/	pe container			PROJECT NAMENO.  PROJECT NAMENO.  PROJECT NAMENO.  PROJECT NAMENO.  PROJECT NAMENO.
	H	19	AA										TPH-Dissel	Н		22
'	ach	P80~			_	-							TPH-Gasedine		5.	3 1/ 3
	R	C				-	-						BTEX by 8021B			<u>}.</u>   }
1	ادا	63				+	-			-	-		VOCs by 8260	2	j	/
	#				+	+	-		-	_	-	-	5VOCs by \$270	Ē		770
6	1	Ž			+	+	-			-	-		O C			200
amp	3	Jen. 1	9	-+		+-	-		-	-	-	1	Ch lu Mi Zi	S		70.0 70.0 70.0 70.0 70.0 70.0 70.0 70.0
Samples received at	418hm		COMPANY	-	_	+					-	-	HFS CL CUNEZA	3		
90	1		Y								-			3	100	3 3
Yed .						<del> </del>	<u> </u>				ļ			9		12137
=	7/	W													1	1 1 3 1
本	7/151/2	3/15/12	DATE												SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days Distant comples Will call with instructions	TORNAROUND THAT
4	+	-	H			ľ							7.		1	Ft3
	200	2.05a	<b>CALL</b>										Notes		of Services	1. 恒 是
	No.	50								1					50	7 189

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

March 21, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on March 15, 2012 from the Metro Self Monitor M08485, F&BI 203217 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0321R.DOC